

ERIC W. SWANIS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 006840
GLENN F. MEIER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 006059
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Telephone: (702) 792-3773
Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
Email: swanise@gtlaw.com
meier@gtlaw.com

CASEY SHPALL, ESQ.*
GREGORY R. TAN, ESQ.*
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
1144 15th Street, Suite 3300
Denver, Colorado 80202
Telephone: (303) 572-6500
Email: shpallc@gtlaw.com
tangr@gtlaw.com

Counsel for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ROBERT SINGER,

Plaintiff,

v.

C. R. BARD, INC.; BARD PERIPHERAL
VASCULAR, INCORPORATED,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:19-cv-01579-JCM-BNW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER] EXTENDING DISCOVERY
DEADLINES FOR LIMITED PURPOSES**

(FIRST REQUEST)

COME NOW Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (“Bard” or “Defendants”) and Plaintiff ROBERT SINGER (“Plaintiff”), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, pursuant to LR IA 6-1, and hereby stipulate that the discovery deadlines be extended for the limited purpose of allowing the depositions of Plaintiff’s disclosed family and friend witnesses as well as Defendants’ applicable territory and/or district managers as outlined below. This is the first request for extension of discovery deadlines for this limited purpose.

///

1 The parties have engaged in settlement discussions, have conducted written discovery, and are
2 now in the process of deposing fact witnesses. Plaintiff has been deposed. His treating physicians have
3 also been deposed or will be deposed within the deadline set by the Stipulated Discovery Plan and
4 Scheduling Order, Dkt. 40. However, in an effort to conserve the resources of the Parties and to facilitate
5 settlement discussions, the Parties have agreed to reserve the right to take the depositions of Plaintiff's
6 disclosed family and friend witnesses, as well as Defendants' applicable territory and district managers,
7 until no later than thirty (30) days before trial is scheduled to begin.

8 Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6(b) and 26, and the Court's inherent authority and
9 discretion to manage its own docket, this Court has the authority to grant the requested extension. Fed.
10 R. Civ. P. 6(b) ("When an act may or must be done within a specified time the court may, for good cause,
11 extend the time...."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) ("A party or any person from whom discovery is sought may
12 move for a protective order in the court where the action is pending . . . The court may, for good cause,
13 issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden
14 or expense."). Furthermore, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 26(d) vest the Court with
15 authority to limit the scope of discovery or control its sequence. *Crawford-El v. Britton*, 523 U.S. 574,
16 598 (1998) ("Rule 26 vests the trial judge with broad discretion to tailor discovery narrowly and to dictate
17 the sequence of discovery.").

18 This Court therefore has broad discretion to extend deadlines or stay proceedings as incidental to
19 its power to control its own docket – particularly where, as here, such action would promote judicial
20 economy and efficiency. *Bacon v. Reyes*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143300, at *4 (D. Nev. Oct. 3, 2013)
21 (citing, *Munoz-Santana v. U.S. I.N.S.*, 742 F.2d 561, 562 (9th Cir. 1984)) ("Whether to grant a stay is
22 within the discretion of the court"); *Lockyer v. Mirant Corp.*, 398 F.3d 1098, 1109 (9th Cir. 2005) ("A
23 district court has discretionary power to stay proceedings in its own court."); *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299
24 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) ("[T]he power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court
25 to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for
26 counsel, and for litigants.").

27 ///

28 ///

For the foregoing reasons, the parties stipulate and respectfully request that this Court modify the Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order, Dkt. 40, as follows (requested modifications are **bolded**):

PROPOSED DATE	DEADLINE
March 8, 2021	Case-specific fact discovery closes with the exception of depositions of Plaintiff's disclosed family and friend witnesses and Defendants' applicable territory and district managers (which shall be taken no later than 30 days before trial is scheduled to begin).
April 5, 2021	The Plaintiff shall produce case-specific expert reports.
May 3, 2021	The Defendants shall produce case-specific expert reports.
May 31, 2021	The Plaintiff shall produce any case-specific rebuttal expert reports.
June 28, 2021	The Defendants shall produce any case-specific rebuttal expert reports.
July 26, 2021	Deadline to depose the Plaintiff's case-specific experts.
August 23, 2021	Deadline to depose the Defendants' case-specific experts.
September 20, 2021	Deadline to file Daubert motions and other dispositive motions.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated this 12th day of February 2021.

WETHERALL GROUP, LTD.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ *Peter C. Wetherall*

By: /s/ *Eric W. Swanis*

PETER C. WETHERALL, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 4414
pwetherall@wetherallgroup.com
9345 W. Sunset Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Telephone: (702) 838-8500
Facsimile: (702) 837-5081

ERIC W. SWANIS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6840
swanise@gtlaw.com
10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Ste. 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Telephone: (702) 792-3773
Facsimile: (702) 792-9002

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendants

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. To the extent the excepted depositions are necessary for either party's summary judgment briefing, the excepted depositions must be taken prior to the deadline for dispositive motions.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 5:36 pm, February 16, 2021



BRENDA WEKSLER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE